

For the attention of; **THE UNITED KINGDOM** corporation c/o

1. **Dominic** of the **BRITISH CONSULATE** in Malaga Spain & forwarded to our MP via
2. **THE BRITISH FOREIGN OFFICE IN LONDON**
3. **Judge Jackson & Judge Pelling** of the 'Court of Protection' in inverted commas
4. **Diana Smith** [**investigator of Land Registry asset stripping FRAUD**]
5. **Steve Doughty & Paul Michael Dacre** of **DAILY MAIL** 'bias homophobia'.
6. A cunning, devious, slanderous sister **Angela Wild** and an Angelized brother **Kevin Clarke**

UPDATED 11TH March 2016

To ALL concerned parties

ref ANN CLARKE CoP10370284 & 20 year carer and son/heir EXECUTOR mike: clarke

I received an email on 3/3/16 in response to an enquiry of any news? Sent to Diana Smith.

Diana Smith has become friends whom is actually investigating **Land Registry Fraud restrictions** where we made contact via other campaigners in connection with Court of Protection ongoing **asset stripping frauds**.

Diana stated in her email the following;

That she was making legal enquiries in reference to our case to gain legal assistance and the response she got was **intriguing**, in her own words, she was told to **stay away from our case** and was warned OFF it. She also exposes the total ignorance and in her own words **rude arrogance** of DAILY MAIL biased reporter Steve Doughty, again demonstrating a common purpose approach to bury the innocent injured parties.

The judiciary ie JACKSON probably refers to this as a conspiracy theory but it clearly is not a conspiracy as is demonstrated in Diana's enquiry. We are not the only ones, there are countless others who cannot get legal representation when the case involves bar members such as ours, against bar members.

ADD to the above the lack of funding and it's simply a case of thousands that fall by the wayside that cannot obtain any statute justice **what so ever**.

This warrants the use of common law and commercial liens, WHY NOT. An affidavit statement of NOTARISED truth, WHY NOT, which has attached financial remedy. **PRISON by perjury if untrue!**

I realise it gets the snouts out of BAR trough where LAWYERS & BARRISTER & JUDGES believe biasedly so, that the LAW is their business and theirs alone, but **this is where the conspiracy actually lies** because the law is the law and we are all supposedly equal under it, otherwise we have inequality at arms, as is in our case, that just breeds INJUSTICE, making shed loads more money for them, misery for US.

In the 1st page of our 200 page application there was only 2 sections and section 2 was DAMAGES, Judge JACKSON did not address this in any way, shape or form. **IS THIS REALLY BRITISH JUSTICE???**

There is in existence, a VOID ORDER by a nominated court of protection JUDGE PELLING 2MA90015 Manchester 14 January 2013 for the committal to prison of MICHAEL CLARKE where this civil procedure was objected to by representations of many, referring to right to be tried by JURY & BIAS.

PELLING proceeded in absentia knowing this was a **due process denial** and also that he was in **delinquent BIAS** of his duties knowing that the overall case referred to allegations of FRAUD THEFT MAL ADMINISTRATION and now PERSECUTION from the court of protection, so how can he stand as JUDGE in his own cause, WHY did he not recuse himself in favour of a JURY court after representations where made which he throughout physically. **THIS IS A TYRANT** performing an act of TREASON.

Likewise JACKSON whose colours have now been firmly fixed to the mast of JUSTICE PIRACY where he clearly needs to be investigated in relation to **LAND REGISTRY FRAUD** where he has clearly breached the WILLS ACT 1837 contrary to part 24 to deny mum rights that would protect her clearly written in her WILL sanctioned by HIM, in a contradiction so in your face it beggars belief!

TODAY we are now seriously concerned that there is a plot to ABDUCT my mother from the SPANISH HOSPITAL, a conspiracy indeed involving the CONSULATE, the FOREIGN OFFICE & Judge JACKSON.

It is for this reason we do hereby again attach and link the LIVING WILL of mum that does as Jackson states **have legal force** regarding her now protected future by: mike: clarke whom stands in her office as EXECUTOR to protect her and her estate from FRAUD, THEFT, MAL ADMINISTRATION, PERSECUTION & leading to what we now believe could be ABDUCTION.

We seek **the immediate re-assurance** of the **BRITISH CONSULATE & THE FOREIGN OFFICE** that no such action will even be attempted and that without such re-assurance by return we will seek assistance from the SPANISH GOVERNMENT for protection from the UNITED KINGDOM.

1. It may kill my mother
2. She has capacity and is not mentally ill
3. It would breach her living will attached
4. It would be classed as abduction
5. Breach human rights article 8

By: mike: clarke in the protection of ann: clarke **ASSURANCES NOW RECEIVED THANK YOU**

As per the living will linked here www.opg.me/will20082012.pdf

content of Diana's email:

Hi Mike

Firstly legal friends are warning me to stay clear of your case, which intrigues me.

I have just spoken to Steve Doughty [I had to ring him]

Nasty little person isn't he?

I now have just written to him, by way of a reply to his rudeness and copied his editor in.

As you know I want to break the back of Land Registry's involvement with restrictions and charges when the aim is asset stripping.

Just try and sit tight for now.

I will copy you in if I hear back from anyone at the Daily Mail.

Diana

COMMERCIAL LIEN content NOW FOLLOWS IN recent email from mike: clarke to **DAILY MAIL Doughty;**

Commercial Lien Claim

by: mike: clarke

to Steve Doughty of the DAILY MAIL

to Sam Greenhill of the DAILY MAIL

to the editor of the DAILY MAIL

to the chief of the DAILY MAIL

to JUDGE JACKSON of Court of Protection

to JUDGE PELLING of the COURT of PROTECTION

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE

NOTICE TO PRINCIPLE IS NOTICE TO AGENT

11.03.2016

Reference DAILY MAIL newspaper article on page 29 dated 25.02.2016

HEADLINE:

Fugitive Michael Clarke drags his mentally ill 75 year old mother
around the world after he blew her £775.000 award

Defamation of character VIA LIABLE & SLANDER

In this action/claim against you STEVE DOUGHTY and co. LISTED ABOVE it is based on a rebuttal of your article on what I know to be the truth and if you can rebut ALL the allegations then there is no claim to be made;

It is my understanding;

1. A newspaper reporter shall report the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth thus his content will be factual and unbiased based on his research etc. and that the failure of such will leave open to such a reporter a claim for defamation of characters do you agree YES or NO if NO please explain in a full rebuttal
2. When you're appointed freelance photographer reporters found us on the day that you printed your article I asked him why you had gone to print with totally the wrong story to which his reply was, because yesterday we did not know where you were! Do you think you should of waited until you found me or that had you researched a little further you would of found my website where all the facts were there in documented and chronological order... please answer YES or NO if No please provide your reasons in a full rebuttal
3. You had gone to interview my sister and brother at their homes for their side of the story and in a **categorical STATEMENT** by both on the 10th March 16 they DENY speaking to reporters... which therefore suggest your story has only been deducted from court files or court staff would you agree YES or NO if not please answer in a full rebuttal your source
4. 20 years ago in 1996 I started caring for my mum without one penny, in fact I was struggling financially and continued to care for 6 years before mum s award came along. Equally after her award ran out in 2012 because of fraud theft mal administration and persecution by the court of protection I then looked after her to date without any money just her state pension and my care allowance of £60 per week so to recap 10 years care without money before and after award and 10 years care in-between with award money. Does this strike you as a man who's care was based solely on money please answer YES or NO and if you do believe YES please answer in a rebuttal WHY
5. 20 years ago my sister Angela Wild made 2 promises to her mother after her tragic accident in which;
 - a/ That if mum agreed to sell her then current house to her at a knock down price... Angela would care for her mother indefinitely in that very same house and
 - b/ That Angela would also pay her mother from the profit a sum of £5000 of which a short time after Angela paid her mother one day £50 off the debt.... Acknowledging that this debt was indeed all agreed leaving a balance of £4950...
Weeks later or maybe a couple of months, Angela evicted her own mother out of that house dumping her at my public house saying I cannot do it she will have to go into a home.
 Why did she bring her to me?
 Mum did not want to go into a home and thought she had **secured her future with her daughter** within what was back then mum's own home that the daughter Angela Wild had effectively stole.. Because from that day to this she never paid mum one single penny of the balance of £4950 owing to her mother. Do you think that the cunning daughter my sister Angela Wild has misled you, the court, her own mother, her brother... please answer YES or NO if NO please answer in a full rebuttal
6. 20 years ago mum arrived at my then PUBLIC HOUSE in a state of DOUBLE INCONTINENCE and in a wheelchair where spasticity in her legs was fixed at a 90 degree angle and so could not stand up, get to the toilet etc., neither did I have any facilities for her on the ground floor of my pub and neither did I have any accessibility for disabled to go upstairs where I had to carry her in my own 2 arms to bed and bring her down during the day same way.. How much money do you believe I did this for??? PLEASE answer in a full rebuttal
7. The doctors around that time 1997 told me mums chances to walk again were next to zero and that because her legs were fixed at 90 degrees they wanted to cut the ham strings so that she would have more freedom and comfort in bed etc... but I refused this operation instead I talked the doctors into the 2nd option cutting the ham strings halfway and stretching her legs

to almost straight in 2 separate operations where after each operation her legs were in plaster paris for 6 weeks and I then had to "drag" mum around with a zimmer frame for months to get her to walk again in which when success came the doctors were dumbfounded, so how much money do you think I did this for??? PLEASE answer in a full rebuttal

8. 1996 Double incontinence mum had because she could not get to a toilet... after her operations 2 off and then months of pains taking care to get her walking against all what doctors had said to be a waste of time it finally paid off and mum could then WALK to the toilet... what would you personally have paid to actually get to that stage yourself if you were in that position... can you just imagine it for one minute.. And then put a value on it... because I did this for LOVE not for money and I did it single handed, no family assistance NONE.. please do not be conceited I actually want your views on this based on money because this is what makes you tick, its what makes my sister tick, the courts business is all based on money and so too are the solicitors so do not skip over this very valuable section respond accordingly
9. It was expressed at the time 1997 of getting mum to walk by the then solicitor LYNDSEY WISE of ALEXANDER HARRIS SOLICITORS whom was pursuing the lawsuit against the health authority that I was damaging the case without specific reference to getting mum to walk she in her money based mind had showed me that her claim of £1.7 million pounds was more important than mum WALKING which of course diminished the claim this is how the system WORKS money, money, money... no thought for mum that it might be in her best interest for her to WALK!!! IF it were you, would YOU rather walk and be a financially lighter please answer in a full rebuttal.
10. The CLAIM at the time of £1.7 million pounds had gathered momentum and we were living in TENERIFE me and mum in a one bedroomed apartment 1999 where by the year 2000 arrived my sister ANGELA wanted her mother living back in the UK with her and in order to help facilitate this reported that we were living in Tenerife off a credit card in mother s name running up a bill... the truth of course is.. that benefits had temporary been stopped upon investigation where my MP was brought in to correct matters and the time this took needed a financial filler and ANGELA was well aware of this as the credit card application for mum went to MY mums old house that ANGELA had thrown her mother out of and ANGELA fraudulently signed this application in her mother name in order that she could have a financial filler but then later reported this credit card abuse as though it was me to the lawsuit solicitor LYNDSEY WISE causing huge waves in the overall case that had to be settled early for a sum that was less than half of the original claim.. ARE you beginning to get the picture of how devious a sister/daughter will and can be in order to get at the money where ever it may be ie., £4950 owed to mum from mums house sale cheap to her daughter in exchange for a promise to be cared for there, then to be thrown out onto the street!!! Later reported in credit card fraud that ANGELA facilitated by signature! DO YOU THINK ANGELA WILD is devious??
11. 2001 the money arrived and so did the world and his dog wanting to wipe ANNs arse... after doing this for 6 years without a shilling... all of a sudden... all kinds of people came out from the woodwork... and who was on the hit list to be removed 1st do you think??? Well after looking back on it now I can clearly say it was me, they all wanted me out of the way and so the conspiracy began... it started with the disagreement as to where mum should live and that this was all in the face of 2 clinical doctors stating mum had capacity to manage her own affairs, live where she wanted and with whom. THE STORY is unreal and continued from that day to this one that we are in right now, I could easily have written a book via the website diary www.opg.me . The 1st real financial abuse came in year one 2001 when the appointed deputy HUGH ADRIAN SCOTT JONES paid mum £200 a week to live whilst he STOLE £507 weekly??? 1st years charges £26,377 supposed to be £2000 can you find out what this was

for?? Because we can't? The corruption hides behind a facade of client confidentiality. SO do you think that these charges are reasonable?? Please answer

12. 2008 after disillusionment in the UK we emigrated to SPAIN. OUT of the jurisdiction of the UK and with capacity reports 2 off mum requested her remaining cash estate of £220,000 but was refused by the Office of the Public Guardian/Court of Protection. After the euro of 1.5 to the pound started plummeting causing huge stress and over 5 years of wrangling writing to all known official bodies the COURT lost mum £100,000 in exchange rate losses by 2012 this was under the guise of the court of protection a position of PARENS PATREA **not my control** as you so boldly mention in your article but the deputy appointed agent of the court of protection... what you stated was defamation was it not??? YES or NO if NO please rebut
13. 2012 money ran out by no fault of mine as we had tried to prevent that... all provable?? YES do you agree?? If not please explain WHY?
14. A court convened to deliver a committal order to prison must be under law one, of a nature of **FAIR, IMPARTIAL & UNBIASED** nature agree YES or NO if NO please answer.
15. JACKSON did not issue a prison sentence in 2013 can you confirm YES or NO and if your answer is YES as in your article please explain WHY because his name was PELLING and it was **UNPUBLISHED**.
16. WHY was it UNPUBLISHED?? **PELLING was a NOMINATED COURT of PROTECTION Judge**, Judging in his own cause by delinquent BIAS as my claims of FRAUD THEFT MAL ADMINISTRATION were all against the state owned and operated BAR/Court of Protection a collection of fraud enablers using the facade of client confidentiality to cover criminality, a BIAS is UNLAWFUL.. Do you agree it needs a JURY and if NOT WHY NOT.... PLEASE ANSWER
17. You claim my mother is mentally ill... we hold reports to the contrary 4 off please answer with factual evidence to back up your advertised story claiming what you do about my mother whom incidentally has capacity.. please answer
18. The COURT of Protections jurisdiction **STOPS** at the borders of ENGLAND & WALES since when and where does it state its jurisdiction is worldwide please answer
19. A person whom holds a clinical neuro specialist doctors report that confirms she has capacity to decide **where to live in the world and with whom** should not have her HUMAN RIGHTS article 8 taken from her... Entitlement to a private life... would you agree yes or NO if NO please provide a full rebuttal response
20. A persons rights under article 6 a fair trial giving full due process is a HUMAN RIGHT do you agree and if NOT why not?? Please provide a detailed response...
21. That a GAY person/carer is entitled by law without any problem what so ever to take his mother to a GAY event YES would you agree or not if not please answer with lawful reason
22. That a GAY person can photograph his mother with male models if she so wishes to have that photo taken yes would you agree or not if not please answer in full
23. Your article not only repulsive in lies demonstrated above, was also soaked in anti-GAY sentiment showing your homophobia of racial discrimination yes do you agree or not if not why not please respond with clear and precise answers
24. The court of protections purpose is to protect and provide for a person's needs Where in JACKSON's latest judgement does he take care of Ann's needs.... In 2015 November I submitted a statement to the court explaining Ann's needs... one of which was a proper BED... one that might have prevented bed sores??? Are you getting the picture?? Ann made the application NOT me.. to sell her house on the 31st of JULY 2015 marked **URGENT**... do you think this kind of treatment is correct... yes or no if YES please explain in full
25. In 2013 when we were persecuted out of our own country into exile leaving behind all Ann's facilities ie walking aids bed etc & HOME... ANN could walk onto a plane and walk off one but

2 years of persecution without all Ann's needs being met she finally succumbed to NOT being able to WALK approx. 9 months ago to a year who would you say is to blame??? Do you really think the 20 year carer son stole and mal administered Ann's finances that were under the control of the PUBLIC GUARDIAN/Court of Protection???? NO or YES if yes please explain in full and I mean in FULL

26. The six figure pay out was under the court of protection whom failed to protect it.. in fact they plundered it in acts of fraud THEFT etc and then tried to cover their actions by trying unlawfully to get the 20 yr carer son into prison to STOP SILENCE GAGG and PERVERT any common law justice being sought under a COMMERCIAL LIEN statement affidavit of the NOTARISED truth being published.... They falsely used harassment laws to generate their false claim and denied representation in an act of treasonable due process denial, ALL in blatant acts of **misconducts in public office** that you by your article have added weight to in defamation of our characters that your public's comments 700 of copied have **not fallen for**, seeing through with ease the lies portrayed that you are guilty of... AGREED yes or NO if no please answer
27. The law provides that if a notice is published that material content cannot be used from a website or indeed a facebook page without the express permission of the owner and that on a prior date this >> NOTICE **TO FACEBOOK OR GOVERNMENTS OR AGENTS OF** By this statement, I give notice to Facebook it is strictly forbidden to disclose, copy, distribute, or take any other action against me based on this profile and/or its contents. The content of this profile is private and confidential information. The violation of privacy can be punished by law (UCC 1-308- 1 1 308-103 and the Rome Statute). NOTE: Facebook is now a public entity. All members must post a note like this. If you prefer, you can copy and paste this version. If you do not publish a statement at least once it will be tactically allowing the use of your photos, as well as the information contained in the profile status updates. THIS was published on my facebook page which by the use of my photos and videos and written context the DAILY MAIL have clearly breached and as such are liable for damages. AGREED yes or no if NO please provide in full your reply
28. The damages that I claim extend to the worldwide publicity that has resulted from the DAILY MAIL article into the USA & EUROPE via THE SUN, The EURO WEEKLY and USA NEWS + BBC TV, that the damage caused is probably beyond recovery and where notice was issued last week to s.doughty@dailymail.co.uk he has failed to respond with even an acknowledgement shows to me that he feels safe in his contempt that he can print what he likes without fear from a carer whom he describes as on the run without any money to pursue him for harm and loss and so this affidavit commercial lien will represent such a claim under common law and a response would be welcome by way of an **apology and retraction to start with** and without it please respond here as to WHY??
29. The trust I had in the Daily Mail to uncover Court Of Protection wrong doing was demonstrated in my emails to the judge or the court on lots of matters over 5 years that were actually copied in to the managingeditor@dailymail.co.uk where a response never came but was the address used by other victims and so too I started using... to have such a massive major abuse of this trust thrown right in my face in just what can only be described as a **pack of lies** was personally extremely damaging and I believe the comments on the article, that incidentally I have tried to make and not been allowed, show the public feel let down by the DAILY MAIL and rightly so.. Such damages should reflect the injuries caused... anything to the contrary RESPOND.
30. The word 'FUGITIVE' I have placed into inverted comma's as your paper did in the article about **Stephen Fry and his 'HUSBAND'** expressing again the DAILY MAIL 'journalists' **homophobic** tendencies, meaning to cast doubt as in a 'FUGITIVE' of justice when in reality there has been NO JUSTICE offered only TYRANTS performing TREASON to suit a STOP SILENCE GAGG &

PERVERTION of real common law justice taking place, so without the real hard facts and truth 'YOU' are committing acts of defamation from gutter press 'JOURNALISM' probably by reason that 96% of the world's media is JEWISH owned, alongside UK COURTS coming under JEWISH rules ie HEAD of the SUPREME court Lord Neuberger a JEW presiding over Christian JUSTICE! THIS is called TALMUD LAW by STEALTH and TALMUDIC LAW rules indicates that Christians have **no rights to PROPERTY MONEY or JUSTICE!** DOES all this stench smell, of a vile conspiracy to defame, intimidate and DEFRAUD JUSTICE?? PLEASE respond I will be interested to see your JEWISH MASONIC rebuttal!

31. PROPERTY MONEY & JUSTICE have all been denied to ANN CLARKE, ann: clarke can go abroad and be free to go, but the Jewish UK 'Christian' courts ARE IN FACT denying ann: her right to EXCHANGE, SELL or BUY PROPERTY anywhere else in the WORLD with her own estate of money and property, ALL in the face of 4 doctors that provided clinical examinations attesting to her capacity resulting in FRAUD, THEFT, MAL ADMINISTRATION & PERSECUTION! Show me your rebuttal with evidence to support JUSTICE has been served upon ANN & mike.
32. ANNs carer has been the subject of aspersions cast upon him by persons with only hearsay and after serving documents recorded delivery requiring that the BROTHER Kevin Clarke & the SISTER Angela Wild provide tangible proof of so called financial concerns **NONE HAS BEEN PROVIDED** but yet the carer/EXECUTOR of 20 years is providing chronological proof of the FRAUDs THEFTs and MAL ADMINISTRATION on www.opg.me of his mother's estate by the United Kingdom corporation PUBLIC GUARDIANS agent/deputy HUGH ADRIAN SCOTT JONES whom, is being backed by JEWdiciary of the court of protection, **PROTECTING THEMSELVES**, please provide your rebuttal showing proof of the newspapers aspersions cast.
33. **FRAUD**, The sister/daughter has in matter of fact stole from her mother by stealth cash & 2 previous properties known as 53 Kensington Avenue Royton Oldham & 12 Watlington Close Sholver Oldham and is currently via the court of protection attempting to gain the 3rd.. Please show in your rebuttal how a daughter/brother should have any input **what so ever** in their own mothers life when that mother holds medical evidence to support her capacity to choose her own life choices on **where to live and with whom...** FRAUD in my eyes to suit a money based business COURT.. Please respond demonstrating where the justice is in this?
34. **RACKETEERING** if you look up the word is what best describes what is in effect going on... The Court of Protection does nothing but to protect its own racketeering of GROSS FUDICIARY TRUST FRAUDS that the conspiring media behind them have teamed up to help undermine a single man's attempt to expose the corruption leading to the question HOW MUCH DID YOU GET to write the story?? Please respond to rebut racketeering allegations and fee for story.
35. **Commercial Liens** are a common law remedy where statute remedy no longer exists as per demonstrated in the letter by Diana Smith where when seeking representation for this case she was warned off it, this being the case in all attempts to get representation not just by me but others too fighting court of protection corruption ie Gary Hollis, Len Lawrence, Jean James etc to name but a few.. we also on our website document many news articles mainly on JUDGES stating the current JUSTICE system is unfit for the poor, on this basis the court of protection now has a free for all to do just what it pleases without fear, with impunity, it can freely just **financially rape the vulnerable** and WHO is going to STOP them?? WELL it is my goal to STOP them via commercial liens and when such a commercial lien is only a statement of truth that has been notarised what might one begin to ask is why should that be a problem?? When, is it not a fact, the truth is what we aim to expose otherwise the **BAR** has a monopoly on any lies they choose to accept as the truth. In reality also an untruth in a commercial lien is punishable by perjury and result in prison. SO if the commercial lien process is not acceptable please answer fully as to why not?

36. **SLANDER** is a word used today by my sister in response to this document but she has now been placed on notice that I have documents awaiting service upon her that will in turn be placed upon the land registry against her property's because the SLANDER started in this case from her herself on several occasions is now required the documentary evidence to support such hearsay slander that she has used that in turn the DAILY MAIL has relied upon to print such a defamatory article that has left no choice but to find remedy. I accuse you the DAILY MAIL of slander, liable and defamation without any evidence to back it up.. please respond.
37. **I will now turn my attention further to Ann's BEST INTERESTS**
FRAUD The first best interest for Ann would be that the court of protection via the OPG had NOT committed FRAUD upon her by not respecting her carer's actions on reporting FRAUD and in fact trying to dispose of him to prison for whistleblowing a multi-billion pound on going FRAUD not just on Ann but many others too such as the LEONARD LAWRENCE case, the Gary HOLLIS case, the YVONNE GODER case, the PETER HOFSHROER case, the JEAN JAMES case and countless other victims, too many to mention many being falsely imprisoned such as WANDA MADDOCKS case and the KATHY DANBY case. FRAUDS which at best, the investigation from the OPG had to offer lasted 7 long months and resulted in a 3 line email saying no wrong had been done! **WHOSE best interest was THAT in??** THE London PRO BONO charity barristers analysis of the case in January last year identified with ease a case to answer for Ann's losses which even after reporting these facts the court of protection conveniently ignore. Not only ignoring this but also ignoring ALL other facts that have **not been in Ann's Best Interests**.
38. **THEFT**- It is in Ann's best interest that THEFT reported be acted upon and thoroughly investigated by POLICE which has been reported 4 times but that white collar crimes of FRAUD are very low on the priority of the POLICE because they judge each reported crime on the potential merit of a prosecution in which it is a well-documented FACT that the criminal element running the show prefer COVER UP before EXPOSURE – **Not in Ann's best interest**.
39. **MAL ADMINISTRATION** – For Ann's best interest it would be, that the court of protection to get its house in order where there currently is no accountability, not just accountability of Ann's estate but the accountability of JUDGES whom are acting **ultra vires** now on an industrial scale of treasonous acts ignoring their OATHS of OFFICE that are **not in Ann's best interests**.
40. **CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE of INDUSTRIAL SCALE** – In Ann's best interest it would have been best to address the **200 page** <http://opg.me/urgentapplication01092015.pdf> by the reduced **8 page** <http://opg.me/jacksonorder.pdf> with the **5 page** <http://opg.me/vacateyourorder.pdf> NOTICE plus these **6 pages** here being **a total of 219 pages** of CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE by a dereliction of JUDICIAL DUTY under parens patriae which is finally capped with the **5 pages here of** <http://opg.me/NOTICEOFMISPRISIONofTREASON.pdf> **TOTAL = 224 pages**.
41. **PERSECUTION** – Of Ann and her carer is **not in her best interests** either and it would be Ann's best interest to put a STOP to THAT with immediate effect by the removal of PELLINGS VOID order but that the ruling criminal elite will no doubt continue... **NOT in Ann's best interest**.
42. **If this court really want to act in Ann's best interest** –
- Provide accountability and scrutiny of Ann's stolen estate to a forensic level as obviously there has been and is prima facie evidence to support an investigation.
 - Provide accountability in the court arena where when required such as here under COMMON LAW required that it is not retained under the ADMIRALTY LAW of the SEA
 - Provide Judicial accountability instead of just words ie in your own words JACKSON 'the law is completely inaccessible to those it was intended' NICE WORDS now ACTION
 - Provide proof that the state has Ann's best interests at heart because where I stand NOW as EXECUTOR I have seen NONE.. not just in Ann's case but many others too.

e) The STATE of the UK STATE is such that criminality is running rampant throughout its fabric of governmental departments and justice systems that cannot be denied. THIS clearly is NOT in Ann's best interest to be any future part of **it in its current form.**

f) WITHOUT clear and concise actions to remedy each and every person's claim of injustice, matters are only going to spiral out of control downwards a fact that does demonstrate it's **NOT in Ann's best interests to have property or money in the UK.**

We attach as financial remedy £1 million pounds per bullet point that is NOT fully responded to that shall be applied with vicarious liability to STEVE DOUGHTY, SAM GREENHILL, The Editor and Chief to be later named and documented. **TOTAL VALUE £42 MILLION POUNDS**

YOU NOW HAVE 7 DAYS TO RESPOND

AFTER DUE RECORDED DELIVERY SERVICE sent Friday 11th March 2016

Please respond to email mike@rake.net

Here below is a copy of the court application. <http://opg.me/urgentapplication01092015.pdf>

URGENT APPLICATION

1 DATED: 31/07/2015 TO RELEASE ANN: CLARKE FROM

COURT OF PROTECTION - NO 10370284

1. On the grounds that "the CROWNS" Court of Protection/PUBLIC GUARDIAN **has failed** in its duty of care **under PARENS PATRIAE**, as the sole guardian of Ms Clarke's "property and financial affairs", where the suffering & losses have gone beyond calculation.
2. That Ms Clarke's **living will**, as sanctioned to make by her own capacity, by the very same JUDGE whom has reserved this application to himself (PETER JACKSON), **takes provision and care of her future** by invoking the EXECUTOR in any further fraudulent claims that she does not have capacity.
3. That the Jurisdiction as by the courts own admission is **only ENGLAND & WALES** where Ms. Clarke has been forced into EXILE with her carer of 20 years her SON and living will executor who has been the subject of a NOMINATED Court of Protection JUDGE "PELLING", **judging in his own cause** without a demanded, required, JURY to IMPRISON for fraudulent CONTEMPT of COURT that is ALL linked to the mental capacity act section 5... denied due process but inflicted upon JEW PROCESS
4. Ms Clarke **had capacity** after her accident/compensation, **she has always had capacity** and the courts have by **TYRANNICAL fraud, THEFT & MAL ADMINISTRATION**, persecuted Ms. Clarke and her carer into EXILE in such a manner that makes it perfectly clear, **the UK is unsafe to reside.**
5. That said & by the **UNREBUTTED** statement of truths in the commercial lien attached Ms Clarke's property has and is in a state of continued disrepair by the failure of being able to maintain it for being in forced EXILE **now needs the order lifting from it**, to be SOLD or EXCHANGED for suitable property abroad where Ms Clarke CAN live in safety and peace with her carer of 20 years, SON and heir & living will executor.
6. Taking all in all it would be **fair unbiased & impartial** because of the treacherous treatment thus far, **to demand a JURY to JUDGE** as the BIAS exuding from this court is beyond belief, committing treasonous acts with impunity, needless to say and based from experience the court will do as it has always done thus far **PLEASING ITSELF** on such matters disregarding a person's common laws of the land rights and hence allegations rise of **TYRANNY.**
7. It is our firm belief NOW that as court processes demonstrate their unfettered powers upon the innocents, that a foreign power has infiltrated our establishment and JEWdiciary to operate from within, **THE JEWISH TALMUD LAW** that denies all rights of JUSTICE, MONEY and PROPERTY from CHRISTIANS, of which we are and that has until now been clearly demonstrated upon US.

2 TO COMPENSATE ANN & MIKE: CLARKE

- For their losses as per COMMERCIAL LIEN statements of truths hereby attached.

Parens patriae is Latin for "parent of the nation" (lit., "parent of the fatherland"). In law, it refers to the public policy power of the state to intervene against an abusive or negligent parent, legal guardian or informal caretaker, and to act as the parent of any child or individual who is in need of protection

Here is a copy of the judgement <http://opg.me/Clarkejudgetment22.2.16.pdf>

ORDERS issued to Judge Jackson <http://opg.me/jacksonorder.pdf>

Notice served to Judge Pelling <http://opg.me/vacateyourorder.pdf>

Sibling statements with allegation to the court <http://opg.me/statementtojackson2016.pdf>

mike: clarke stands in ANN CLARKEs ESTATE as EXECUTOR notices served

<http://opg.me/executorletters.pdf> **DENIED SERVICE by COURT STAFF Joanne EARLEY**

TREASON NOTICES issued

<http://opg.me/NOTICEOFMISPRISIONofTREASON.pdf> **DENIED SERVICE by COURT Joanne EARLEY**

MR Clarke



by: EXECUTOR OFFICE mike@rake.net
michael raymond: clarke