

FAO JUDGE JACKSON

Ref: Case 2MA90015 & proposed DRAFT ORDER <http://opg.me/ClarkedraftQBDOrder316.pdf>

15th March 2016

Following a **VOID order made by YOU** on the 22 February 2016 in Court of Protection Case 10370284 <http://opg.me/Clarkejudgment22.2.16.pdf>

Within the <http://opg.me/BRITISHCONSULATE.pdf> claim upon you & the Daily Mail filed today made public in draft form over the last week I made mention of Treason & Executor notices sent to you that your staff **refused to confirm delivery** of in acts of **'misconduct in public office'** in which Joanne Earley the court of protection **manager** admitted in writing her misconduct of refusing to serve these very important notices, likewise your own clerk Alexander Morton equally refused to confirm after requesting confirmation of delivery 5 times the following;

1. <http://opg.me/executorletters.pdf>
2. <http://opg.me/NOTICEOFMISPRISIONofTREASON.pdf>

Regardless, I do believe they were served and that you did receive them and read the content and as such you had no reason to prevent delivery and nor did your staff acting in DISHONOUR apart from the reason mentioned above which is tantamount to MISCONDUCT probably upon your instruction.

Based upon the above being filed 'yet again' it is my understanding that your courts operation, is along the lines of EU directives, that does not have a peoples EU mandate & are therefore ACTS of TREASON and the orders you refer i.e. **JUSTICE SHARPs** interim order & **FOSKITTs** permanent order **were VOID**.

The office of EXECUTOR is the highest office in the land, as YOU are well aware of & having brought that to your attention your authority was over, the authority of the previous courts had as much authority as a tennis court too, as was filed upon them at the time. YOU lot, may very well have been acting within your own made up world to suit your own corrupt ends but I operate within the correct legal and lawful processes that I can lawfully hold, as is my understanding.

That said, I am entitled by RIGHT, to a **'RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY'** that was at the time required by letter to Judge Foscitt. Judge Foscitt wrote back from the court as documented within our website www.opg.me a letter stating, "the law does not provide for a jury in this case and therefore this WILL be decided by JUDGE and by JUDGE ALONE". **TREASON**.

As pointed out at the time & the time before, these laws you so boldly refer are not laws that I have accepted, & in correspondence pointed out the illegality of such i.e. no **EU peoples mandate** to do what the country and Judicial system has been doing and in order for such a hearing, to be carried out you need to **hear** as in **hearing** my **consent**, clearly you did not have, in fact it was categorically **denied**.

It was denied out of **BIAS UNFAIRNESS & that PARTIALITY** could be seen clearly towards PANNONE LLP and what is clear, that the JUDGES are in the same club as the accusers PANNONE LLP Hugh Jones of **'The BAR'** which does not sit well in my eyes where **BIAS is unlawful** which needs a rightful JURY trial.

It's called as you are well aware, a 'CONFLICT of INTEREST' & such a conflict may easily be got away with in an EU court, that, we [UK] by lack of a peoples mandate are actually NOT in the EU! **LAWFULLY or LEGALLY** and all acts of FRAUD by TREASON vitiate ALL, breaching article 6 right to fairness.

The above being perfectly clear to you by now, should demonstrate **VOID ORDERS**, nothing more and nothing less. TREASONABLE activity combined with misconducts in public office mean the system lacks any credibility what so ever and is NO basis to continue in absentia, carrying out a CRIME which was finally CAPPED by a nominated Court of Protection Judge, Judging in his own cause. BIASED.

COMMON LAW provides that when such TREASON is committed the individual sheds all protection from company or state and that this individual can be claimed upon by the wronged party where harm loss and injury is concerned that being us, and so commercial liens are being used and will continue to be used to gain remedy whereas, documented this week by the courts own JEW president Neuberger, that it's happening to thousands of British People 'access to Justice is very much at risk'.

The Court of Protections unfettered powers upon the vulnerable can clearly be seen here where they continue to do **just as they please upon the vulnerable** with impunity and that you may be able to get away with such acts on other mothers, **BUT not mine!**

Having further enlightened you to what I believe you already know, my position of EXECUTOR as per **LIVING WILL** <http://opg.me/will20082012.pdf> directs you to release restriction upon the land registry estate of Ann: Clarke's property with immediate effect & to assess hers and mine damages according to LIENS filed.

Your continued arrogance in this matter by your proposed draft order and misconducts in public office not just by you but your staff also probably upon your instructions amount to TREASON and such acts are beginning to frighten my disabled mum who **holds capacity** where you now want to steal her PASSPORT for **no god damn reason** other than your own BLACKMAILING processes. As such we have received an offer upon mums house of £180 000 cash which we have accepted as **EXECUTOR** which under the LAW you have no grounds what so ever to restrict and we are considering now to RENOUNCE our British Passports in favour of SPANISH protection from you and your illegitimate court.

Your draft order should have done the right thing and cancel ALL previous orders in favour of right to be tried by JURY and should NOT have threatened an OLD lady with passport removal when you well aware of her capacity. I have never been in contempt of any lawful court but, I have every lawful right to have contempt for an unlawful court, it is my right under article 61 of Magna Carta 1215.

In a YouTube video made public which was only recorded the once, my mother at her hospital bed was asked the question, 'the Judge has said we can go back to the UK but the problem is that he wants to take away your passport so you cannot travel, DO YOU THINK THAT IS RIGHT? and her clear reply was, "well **NO I DON'T!**" <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oC9brS-dxAl>

YOUR incitement of the press to facilitate and print pure unadulterated lies based on you courts published **hearsay allegations** of financial wrong doing without any **what so ever evidence** to support it, is repugnant to the core when the **provable** FRAUD, THEFT, MAL ADMINISTRATION & PERSECUTION is on the website <http://www.opg.me> the COURT OF THE PROTECTIONS - **PROBATE RACKETEERING**.

MR Clarke



by:

EXECUTOR OFFICE mike@rake.net
michael raymond: clarke