

RE: YOUR Letter Official Solicitors COMPLAINT Office - LETTERS FORWARDED TO FRAUD OFFICE

Fao molly:mcgrath McGrath, Molly (OSPT) Molly.McGrath@offsol.GSI.GOV.UK

Molly: Complaints Officer / Executive Assistant to the Official Solicitor - Sent: 07 April 2017 12:51

Attached is a defaced unaccepted letter that is full of imperfections and mistakes!

Are you qualified to act in this capacity?

Correction 1 I do not carry a title ie MR. as this is the legal fiction joinder routine YOU use to ENSLAVE to YOUR JURISDICTION

Correction 2 My name is not 'mike rake' either, perhaps you need to read some paperwork before plundering around with vague replies which is exactly what judges are doing. My name is **mike: clarke** alive in law! NOT LOST/DEAD or ENSLAVED!

Correction 3 MASTERMAN v LISTER I have never heard of, WHAT IS THIS exactly or is it just another of your incompetent mistakes?

If your incompetence refers to the harbin v masterman enquiry then the judge here again had no jurisdiction to ask for such an enquiry under the terms of my mothers living will! Attached here <http://opg.me/will20082012.pdf>

Correction 4 I do not need to return to the UK to serve any prison sentence what so ever, as the administrator 'judge' PELLING, a nominated Court of Protection 'Judge', not only judged in his own cause - ILLEGAL! UNLAWFUL! Ultra virus VOID damages required! BUT was served several formal NOTICES rebutting his presumed authority after which he had my representatives removed from court by intimidation! then ran out of court!

Perhaps again you need to do some research on FRAUD on and IN the court by BIAS CONFLICT 'Judges' acting Ultra Virus as a FRAUD in their own cause and so the sentence is VOID and damages are demanded!

In fact YOUR entire operation is a FRAUD and YOU know it!

FACT - i man mike: a living sentient being are in FACT the executor of me and also of ann both of which DO NOT COME UNDER YOUR FRAUDULENT activities and demand to know what charges financially have you placed upon ann's property and land registry that IS A FRAUD!

mike: clarke alive in law NOT LOST or DEAD! or for this matter NOT ENSLAVED either!!

-----Original Message----- From: McGrath, Molly (OSPT) [mailto:Molly.McGrath@offsol.GSI.GOV.UK]

Sent: 07 April 2017 12:51

To: mike@rake.net Subject: Letter from the Official Solicitors Office

Dear Mr Rake,

Please see the attached response to your letter dated 26th March 2017.

Kind Regards,

Molly McGrath

Complaints Officer / Executive Assistant to the Official Solicitor

Official Solicitors Office

Victory House

30-34 Kingsway, London

WC2B6EX

DX: 141423 Bloomsbury 7



Official Solicitor

Complaints Officer
Victory House
30-34 Kingsway
London
WC2B 6EX
DX 141423 Bloomsbury 7

Mr Mike Rake
By email: mike@rake.net



**not called
mike rake**



Tel: 020 3681 2749
Fax: 020 3681 2762
E-Mail: OSPT_Complaints@offsol.gsi.gov.uk
Web: www.justice.gov.uk

Ref: EU/HCW/C003950.1
Date: 6 April 2017

Dear Mr Rake,

Thank you for your letter dated 26 March 2017 received on the 28 March 2017.

I am the Complaints Officer for the Official Solicitor and Public Trustee office.

The Official Solicitor is unable to provide a response to your letter for the following reasons:

1. You are a party to proceedings where the Official Solicitor is assisting the court in respect of a Masterman v Lister enquiry. **? what is this?**
2. Your letter is a protest against the role the Court has asked the Official Solicitor to undertake **the court has no jurisdiction**
3. You are living abroad, and have thus far refused to return to the UK to serve an outstanding 3 month prison term for contempt of Court or to purge that contempt, and you appear to refuse to accept the Court's jurisdiction with regards to your mother.

the case of contempt has no jurisdiction to judge in his own cause

Therefore, as a matter of policy, the Official Solicitor's office is unable to provide any further comment unless, and until, proceedings have concluded and you have also submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court, by purging your contempt or serving the outstanding sentence for that contempt.

Yours sincerely

Molly McGrath
Complaints Officer for the Official Solicitor

You provide above 3 reasons to excuse the Official Solicitor from responding non which I can see any recognisable law to back up any of the 3 reasons given can you provide the relevant law to back up your actions as all I can see is CONCEALMENT of CHARGES & CONCEALMENT of enquiry to **DEFRAUD!**

THE TWELVE PRESUMPTIONS OF COURT Canon 3228

A Roman Court does not operate according **to any true rule of law**, but by presumptions of the law. Therefore, if presumptions presented by the private Bar Guild are not rebutted they become fact and are therefore said to stand true [Or as “truth in commerce”]. There are twelve (12) key presumptions asserted by the private Bar Guilds which if unchallenged stand true being Public Record, Public Service, Public Oath, Immunity, Summons, Custody, Court of Guardians, Court of Trustees, Government as Executor/Beneficiary, Executor De Son Tort, Incompetence, and Guilt:

1. The Presumption of Public Record is that any matter brought before a lower Roman Courts is a matter for the public record when in fact it is presumed by the members of the private Bar Guild that the matter is a private Bar Guild business matter. Unless openly rebuked and rejected by stating clearly the matter is to be on the Public Record, the matter remains a private Bar Guild matter completely under private Bar Guild rules; and

2. The Presumption of Public Service is that all the members of the Private Bar Guild who have all sworn a solemn secret absolute oath to their Guild then act as public agents of the Government, or “public officials” by making additional oaths of public office that openly and deliberately contradict their private “superior” oaths to their own Guild. Unless openly rebuked and rejected, the claim stands that these private Bar Guild members are legitimate public servants and therefore trustees under public oath; and

3. The Presumption of Public Oath is that all members of the Private Bar Guild acting in the capacity of “public officials” who have sworn a solemn public oath remain bound by that oath and therefore bound to serve honestly, impartiality and fairly as dictated by their oath. Unless openly challenged and demanded, the presumption stands that the Private Bar Guild members have functioned under their public oath in contradiction to their Guild oath. If challenged, such individuals must recuse themselves as having a conflict of interest and cannot possibly stand under a public oath; and

4. The Presumption of Immunity is that key members of the Private Bar Guild in the capacity of “public officials” acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates who have sworn a solemn public oath in good faith are immune from personal claims of injury and liability. Unless openly challenged and their oath demanded, the presumption stands that the members of the Private Bar Guild as public trustees acting as judges, prosecutors and magistrates are immune from any personal accountability for their actions; and

5. The Presumption of Summons is that by custom a summons unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to accept a position (defendant, juror, witness) and jurisdiction of the court. Attendance to court is usually invitation by summons. Unless the summons is rejected and returned, with a copy of the rejection filed prior to choosing to visit or attend, jurisdiction and position as the accused and the existence of “guilt” stands; and

6. The Presumption of Custody is that by custom a summons or warrant for arrest unrebutted stands and therefore one who attends Court is presumed to be a thing and therefore liable to be detained in custody by “Custodians”. [This includes the dead legal fiction non-human “PERSON” that corporate-governments rules and regulations are written for.*] Custodians may only lawfully hold custody of property and “things” not flesh and blood soul possessing beings. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by rejection of summons and/or at court, the presumption stands you are a thing and property and therefore lawfully able to be kept in custody by custodians; and

7. The Presumption of Court of Guardians is the presumption that as you may be listed as a “resident” of a ward of a local government area and have listed on your “passport” the letter P, you are a pauper and therefore under the “Guardian” powers of the government and its agents as a “Court of Guardians”. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you

are by default a pauper, and lunatic and therefore must obey the rules of the clerk of guardians (clerk of magistrates court);

8. The Presumption of Court of Trustees is that members of the Private Bar Guild presume you accept the office of trustee as a “public servant” and “government employee” just by attending a Roman Court, as such Courts are always for public trustees by the rules of the Guild and the Roman System. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to state you are merely visiting by “invitation” to clear up the matter and you are not a government employee or public trustee in this instance, the presumption stands and is assumed as one of the most significant reasons to claim jurisdiction – simply because you “appeared”; and

9. The Presumption of Government acting in two roles as Executor and Beneficiary is that for the matter at hand, the Private Bar Guild appoint the judge/magistrate in the capacity of Executor while the Prosecutor acts in the capacity of Beneficiary of the trust for the current matter. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to demonstrate you are both a general guardian and general executor of the matter (trust) before the court, the presumption stands and you are by default the trustee, therefore must obey the rules of the executor (judge/magistrate); and

10. The Presumption of Executor De Son Tort is the presumption that if the accused does seek to assert their right as Executor and Beneficiary over their body, mind and soul they are acting as an Executor De Son Tort or a “false executor” challenging the “rightful” judge as Executor. Therefore, the judge/magistrate assumes the role of “true” executor and has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged by not only asserting one’s position as Executor as well as questioning if the judge or magistrate is seeking to act as Executor De Son Tort, the presumption stands and a judge or magistrate of the private Bar guild may seek to assistance of bailiffs or sheriffs to assert their false claim; and

11. The Presumption of Incompetence is the presumption that you are at least ignorant of the law, therefore incompetent to present yourself and argue properly. Therefore, the judge/magistrate as executor has the right to have you arrested, detained, fined or forced into a psychiatric evaluation. Unless this presumption is openly challenged to the fact that you know your position as executor and beneficiary and actively rebuke and object to any contrary presumptions, then it stands by the time of pleading that you are incompetent then the judge or magistrate can do what they need to keep you obedient; and

12. The Presumption of Guilt is the presumption that as it is presumed to be a private business meeting of the Bar Guild, you are guilty whether you plead “guilty”, do not plead or plead “not guilty”. Therefore unless you either have previously prepared an affidavit of truth and motion to dismiss with extreme prejudice onto the public record or call a demurrer, then the presumption is you are guilty and the private Bar Guild can hold you until a bond is prepared to guarantee the amount the guild wants to profit from you.

ALL of the above in court have been rebutted but YOUR fraudulent courts have continued regardless **BLATENT FRAUDS!**

without prejudice UCC 1-308
without recourse
mike: clarke
24.09.2012
Copyright
MICHAEL RAYMOND CLARKE TM
1ST
sentient living man
by:
EXECUTOR OFFICE mike@rake.net
[michael-raymond: clarke](mailto:michael-raymond:clarke)
