

mike the executor of ann
makes counter judgement
1 of 2 pages
based on the **VOID** judgement of the
Court of 'Protections'

JACKSON

And his use of the terms
Abusive and delusional

15th November 2016

We demand this Judgement is

Published upon

Bailli

In order to illustrate

FAIR IMPARTIAL & UNBIASED

public reporting

Delusional comes from a Latin word meaning "deceiving." So **delusional** thinking is kind of like deceiving yourself by believing outrageous things. **Delusional** thoughts are often a sign of mental illness, but the word can also be used more loosely to describe behavior that is just not realistic.

1. In the 1st general order that Judge Denzil Anton Lush handed down in 2001 he made a reference to the families fear upon charges and in that reference he stated and I quote , ***“The reasonable fees and expenses of a professional receiver are recoverable from the defendant in the personal injury action as a head of damage. They do not simply fall on the patient’s fund.”*** HUGH JONES said and I quote, ***“the family should rest assured that my costs will not be eroding the capital settlement agreed for their mothers care and other specific needs.”*** The charges implied by LUSH were laid down at **£2000 per year**. With regard to the words ***abusive and delusional*** would Jackson agree that to have charged £26,377 in the 1st year to have been a financial **abuse** if as I suspect Jackson will not answer could it possibly be that Jackson, Pelling and in general terms the court of protection has **deluded** itself into believing that this is fair when indeed it represents without detailed account of such just a fraudulent theft that amounts to nothing more **than financial rape!** Taking into account that the payment being provided to the client to live on was £200 per week whilst the deputy stole £500. WHO was and is on this matter **delusional and abusive** and most of all **unrealistic???**
2. Year 2 ie 2002 was little difference where the deputy proceeded to gratify himself with a sum total unaccounted for, of £14,000 whilst still only paying the client to live a token payment of £200 weekly. Financial **abuse** that you are **deluding** yourself to belief that these charges are fine?
3. To recap the **deluded** deputy financially **abused** ann already in the 1st 2 years for over £40,000 and still to this day after constant demands failed to provide any **detailed** accounts? By this point his charges were **not supposed to exceed £4,000** but he multiplied this by 10, **WHY?** One can only assume that your ignorance to ann’s losses, **this being only the start**, is of course by definition **delusional and abuse** itself, by YOU, you being in a position to do something about it, refer yourself back to the quote on delusion ie **NOT REALISTIC!**
4. 10 years on the deputy had stole a minimum of £150,000 when it should not have exceeded £20,000 and this also was in the face of several specialist doctors confirming ann had capacity! So this also prompts the question of **financial abuse?**
5. After emigration in March 2008 that the deputy, the court & the family showed no issue with and assistance was given and documented over that period why did the deputy throw obstacles and obfuscation in the path of such emigration preventing a property exchange not just once but twice which was providing untold stress/cost to ann that was indeed another **abuse!**
6. The refusal by the court to hand over to ann her funds to her new country of choice and or the failure to address the issue of new currency by not providing a euro account showed mal administration by the deputy, providing losses of **£100,000** that the court would not provide answers on, but yet, you were in control of ann’s finances that in effect depleted by exchange rate loss and I might add was a substantial loss, that by the failure to address this, is **an abuse, to delude** yourself that all is ok **when clearly it isn’t.**
7. It became very clear recently that this court, is imprisoning the elderly with property as slaves of the United Kingdom state, where they cannot move abroad and where the court conspire to defraud people from holding their human rights to the dignity of choice, which can only be classed as a **HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSE** where that too the court have **deluded** themselves into thinking they can get away with it.
8. The hole that this court has dug for itself just gets deeper and deeper where it then presides over an unconsented to, hearing of contempt not only committing a crime of personage and barratry by identity fraud but also FRAUD upon the court itself in it’s clear unadulterated BIAS by using their own CoP judge to bring about a fraudulent 3 month prison sentence, now if the details of this case were published on BAILLI **which they are not** and publishers started to scratch the surface, WHO would be accused of **ABUSE** of due process that has **deluded** themselves into believing they provided justice fairly, impartially and **unbiased?**
9. After **you**, Jackson, provided judgement of ann’s capacity to make a **living will** via your own doctor, have you not once mentioned such an existence of **that living will** in any of your void judgements that can be the remedy to this end.... Have you now **deluded** yourself into belief that it does not exist or has no use?
10. **Whose behaviour is unrealistic that is & has deluded themselves into being a very abusive delusionist.**

It’s my determination JACKSON, that this is YOU! - Judgement end, by mike the executor of ann