



Claim Form (CPR Part 8)

In the	HIGH COURT CASE 2MA90015
Claim no.	
Fee Account no.	form 206 alive exempt
Help with Fees - Ref no. (if applicable)	H W F - [] - []

Claimant

mike: clarke mike@rake.net
 NOT THE LEGAL FICTION MICHAEL CLARKE but man
 claims fraud on the court via 1.bias 2.personage 3.barratry 4.fraud on the court

NEMO JUDEX IN SUA CAUSA

There are no time limits for bringing a case of FRAUD



Defendant(s)

'judge' pelling the man

philip mark pelling

Thickwithens Farm,
 Red Lane,
 Higher Sutton,
 Macclesfield,
 Cheshire

Does your claim include any issues under the Human Rights Act 1998? Yes No

Details of claim *(see also overleaf)*

'JUDGE' ADMINISTRATOR Philip Mark Pelling QC and so called "Nominated COURT OF PROTECTION Judge" whom conducted a TREASONOUS, BIASED, UNFAIR administrative statute tribunal, 14.1.2013 case 2MA90015 WITHOUT IMPARTIALITY & without the consent of all parties where he abandoned the court to have our representation thrown out by security after they claimed common law jurisdiction and requested a JURY

after which he returned to hand down a 3 month prison sentence to the protected party/CARER of 17 years flying in the face of statute Mental Capacity ACT 2005 section 5 and thus the protection of carers from any civil liability in the connection of the care of a so called patient of the court of protection ann: clarke 10370284 whom HOLDS CAPACITY! more fraud!

part evidence linked here <http://opg.me/x1.pdf>

claim £1,030,000 pounds

Defendant's name and address

man
 philip mark pelling
 Thickwithens Farm,
 Red Lane,
 Higher Sutton,
 Macclesfield,
 Cheshire
 SK11 0NA

	£
Court fee	form 206 EXEMPT
Legal representative's costs	
Issue date	19.12.2016

For further details of the courts www.gov.uk/find-court-tribunal.

When corresponding with the Court, please address forms or letters to the Manager and always quote the claim number.

Claim no.

Details of claim *(continued)*

fraud upon the court
bias
personage
barratry

'JUDGE' ADMINISTRATOR Philip Mark Pelling QC and so called "Nominated COURT OF PROTECTION Judge" whom conducted a TREASONOUS, BIASED, UNFAIR administrative statute tribunal, 14.1.2013 case 2MA90015 WITHOUT IMPARTIALITY & without the consent of all parties where he abandoned the court to have our representation thrown out by security after they claimed common law jurisdiction and requested a JURY

after which he returned to hand down a 3 month prison sentence to the protected party/CARER of 17 years flying in the face of statute Mental Capacity ACT 2005 section 5 and thus the protection of carers from any civil liability in the connection of the care of a so called patient of the court of protection ann: clarke 10370284 whom HOLDS CAPACITY! more fraud!

part evidence linked here <http://opg.me/x1.pdf>

Stat. Dec. sealed was served to the court on & before the 14th January 2013 in several various ways one of which was by hand to the Judge on the day he acted outside his jurisdiction thereby becoming disheveled of his corporate protection making him liable personally for his actions.

The Judge was asked had he read the various documents handed to the court to which he replied YES which was documented upon the transcript that was released.

claim £1,030,000 pounds

No court can make judgment in its own cause... it is anathema to justice. We claim that the High Court has no legitimacy... because it fails to uphold the rule-of-law and has resorted instead to the rule-of-force (coercion) to impose its claimed authority.

Legitimate authority derives from consent not coercion.

The court must address the issues raised or the authority of the court evaporates. The issues are not going to go away and more and more people will challenge the court until addressed.

Challenging the authority of government and the legitimacy of the judiciary is a democratic right where questions of propriety arise

Challenge to Jurisdiction: In the matter of MICHAEL CLARKE (legal fiction):

Statement of Truth

*(I believe)(The Claimant believes) that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true.

* I am duly authorised by the claimant to sign this statement.

Full name mike: clarke contact only - mike@rake.net

Name of claimant's legal representative's firm sui juris NON

signed  position or office held executor of my estate

*(Claimant)(Litigation friend) (if signing on behalf of firm or company)
(Legal representative's solicitor)

**delete as appropriate*

mike@rake.net

Claimant's or claimant's legal representative's address to which documents should be sent if different from overleaf. If you are prepared to accept service by DX, fax or e-mail, please add details.



Claim Form (CPR Part 8)

In the CLAIM upon the COURT of PROTECTION
CASE ref 10370284 living soul ann: clarke

Claim no.	
Fee Account no.	form 206 alive exempt
Help with Fees - Ref no. (if applicable)	H W F - [] - []

Claimant

mike: clarke executor of ann: clarke - mike@rake.net
NOT THE LEGAL FICTIONS MICHAEL CLARKE / ANN CLARKE but wo/man

NEMO JUDEX IN SUA CAUSA
a case of FRAUD upon the court



Defendant(s)

Court of 'Protection'

Does your claim include any issues under the Human Rights Act 1998? Yes No

Details of claim *(see also overleaf)*

claims fraud on the court via
1.bias 2.personage 3.barratry 4.fraud on the court
5 theft 6 mal administration 7 persecution 8 harassment
claim of unlimited damages starting at £775,000 unaccounted in detail
forced to live from £ sterling resulting in exchange rate losses over £100,000
overcharges unaccounted by over £130,000
pain stress and discomforted leading to ill health

Defendant's name and address

Court of 'Protection'

	£
Court fee	form 206 EXEMPT
Legal representative's costs	
Issue date	19.12.2016

For further details of the courts www.gov.uk/find-court-tribunal.
When corresponding with the Court, please address forms or letters to the Manager and always quote the claim number.

Claim no.

Details of claim *(continued)*

invoked living will executor of ann claims fraud on the court via

a

1.bias 2.personage 3.barratry 4.fraud on the court
5 theft 6 mal administration 7 persecution 8 harassment

b

Challenge to Jurisdiction:In the matter of ANN CLARKE(legal fiction): re the living soul ann

c

living will in force

evidence placed into public domain via senior master barbara janet fontaine RCJ queens bench

1. WILL here <http://opg.me/will20082012.pdf>

2. LIEN here <http://opg.me/19122011all24.compressed.pdf>

3. BOTH APOSTILLED here <http://opg.me/Willandlienapostilles.pdf>

ann has been the victim of FRAUD THEFT MAL ADMINISTRATION leading to harassment & persecution

The court has facilitated the fraud & theft

The revealing of the fraud has in turn provoked the court to protect the abusers, not the abused, ann.

various statements etc all supplied in chronological order www.opg.me

Statement of Truth

*(I believe)(The Claimant believes) that the facts stated in these particulars of claim are true.

* I am duly authorised by the claimant to sign this statement.

Full name mike: clarke contact only - mike@rake.net

Name of claimant's legal representative's firm sui juris NON

signed *MR Clarke*

*(Claimant)(Litigation friend)
(Legal representative's solicitor)

position or office held executor of ann s estate
(if signing on behalf of firm or company)

**delete as appropriate*

mike@rake.net

Claimant's or claimant's legal representative's address to which documents should be sent if different from overleaf. If you are prepared to accept service by DX, fax or e-mail, please add details.